

# INDEX

## VOLUME 17

- Academic credit, competency-based, 2:1  
 Academic orientation/uncertainty, 5:12, 13  
 Academic progress measure, 6:9  
 Accountability, 4:1, 6; 5:9-11  
 Accreditation, 1:3, 13; 2:6, 7; 3:7-8; 4:10, 11-12; 5:7, 9, 10  
 ACT Collegiate Assessment of Academic Proficiency, 2:4  
 ACT Interest Inventory, 4:15  
 ACT Student Profile Section, 4:14-15  
 Adaptex software, 5:8, 16  
 Admission tests, 4:14  
 Advisory board, 2:6  
 Alverno College, 5:3; 6:15, 16  
 American Assn. of State Colleges and Universities, Stewardship of Place initiative, 5:10  
 American Federation of Teachers, 5:10  
 American Political Science Assn., 3:12  
 American Productivity and Quality Center, 2:9  
 American Psychological Assn., 3:12, 13  
 American Sociological Assn., 3:12, 13  
 Anderson, T., 1:4  
 Assessment competence, 6:5, 12  
 Assessment culture, 5:1-2, 12-13; 6:6-8  
 Assessment cycle, 4:9  
 Assessment motivation/incentives, 3:16; 6:3, 16  
 Assessment results, dissemination of, 3:6  
 Assessment strategies/tools, 1:3, 4, 14-15; 2:6, 8, 14-15; 3:9, 15-16; 4:8-9, 14-15; 5:7, 12  
 Assn. of American Colleges and Universities, 5:9-11  
 Assor, A., 6:5  
 Attitudinal outcomes, 4:12  
 Augustine, C. H., 1:14  
  
 Bachelor's programs, 4:11  
 Back translation process, 2:14-15  
 Banta, T., 1:14; 4:7, 9, 10, 11  
 Bass, B. M., 3:3  
 Benchmarking, 2:9; 3:16; 5:6, 7  
 Best practices, 1:9; 2:9; 3:1, 4-6  
 Birnbaum, R., 3:5  
 Bloom's taxonomy, 6:14  
 Blue Ridge Community College, 5:8-16  
 Bradley, B., 4:4  
 Bruce, G. D., 1:4  
 Budget, 3:16; 5:16  
*Building a Scholarship of Assessment* (Banta), 1:14  
 Business Higher Education Forum, 5:9, 11  
  
 California State Univ., Sacramento, 6:1  
 Campus assessment leader, 3:3-14  
 Campus leaders, 2:4; 3:3-14; 4:7, 8-9; 5:3, 14-15; 6:3-15  
 Campuswide outcomes assessment, 3:3  
 Central assessment database, 1:8-9  
 Chief assessment officer, 6:6  
 Civic engagement, 2:3-11  
  
 Classroom-level assessment, 2:3, 11  
 Clustering procedure, 1:2, 10-11  
 Cocurriculum evaluations, 1:14-15  
*College Student Report, The*, 1:15; 6:13  
 College-level outcomes assessment, 3:10-11  
 Colorado State Univ., 1:3  
 Community college strategies, 2:14-15; 3:9-11; 5:8-16  
 Competence-based assessment, 2:5  
 Complex skills assessment, 3:12  
 Comprehensive planning/assessment, 3:5-6  
 Computer science graduates, 4:2-3, 13  
 Computer-based testing, 5:8  
 Computerized adaptive testing, 1:14, 15  
 Conferences, assessment, 2:7-8  
 Consortium-based initiative, 3:15-16  
 Continuous improvement culture, 3:5  
 Coordinator, assessment, 6:7  
 Corporate leaders, 5:9  
 Council for the Advancement of Standards in Higher Education, 2:12-13  
 Council for Higher Education Accreditation, 4:10-11  
 Course syllabi, 4:9, 10  
 Credibility, assessment, 4:8  
 Criminal justice program evaluation, 6:1-2, 11-12  
 Curriculum planning, 1:13  
  
 Data analysis/use, 1:7-9; 2:3, 5; 3:4-6; 4:5, 6; 5:2, 9, 10-11, 13  
 Data definitions, 1:8  
 Dawis, R., 2:14  
 Deci, E. L., 6:4, 5, 12  
 Departmental assessment, 4:7-9  
 Departmental leaders, 4:7, 8-9  
 Direct assessment, 4:8-9, 12  
 Director of assessment, 6:6-7  
 Discipline-specific assessment, 3:1-12  
 Distance program assessment, 1:3-4  
 Diversity, 3:7-8  
 Documentation, assessment, 2:8-9  
 Drucker, P. F., 3:5  
  
 Eastern Michigan Univ., 2:7, 6:9  
 Edington, R., 1:4  
 Educational capital concept, 5:10  
 Educational Testing Service, 3:15  
 Einarson, M. K., 1:14; 4:9  
 E-learning, 1:3-4  
 Electronic course management system, 2:11  
 Electronic submission/filing, 3:10  
 Elon Univ., 1:12-13  
 Embedded assessment, 1:5; 3:9-11; 4:8-9; 5:7  
 Employers, 1:4; 4:1-3, 13  
 Engineering graduates, 4:2-3, 13  
 Entrepreneurial activities, 3:5  
 Erwin, T. D., 4:4  
 Ethington, C. A., 4:15  
 Evaluation teams, 6:2-12  
 Evergreen State College, 5:4-5, 11  
 Ewell, P., 1:7  
 Exit surveys, 6:9  
 Experiential learning, 1:12  
 External consultants, 2:6  
 Extracurricular activities, 4:14, 15  
  
 Faculty, 1:13; 2:4, 6, 7; 3:1-2, 12-13; 4:7-9; 5:7; 6:5, 6, 7-8, 12, 15  
 Faculty Survey of Student Engagement, 6:13-14  
 Farrow, F., 4:5  
 Feedback, 3:5; 4:9  
 Feldman, K. A., 4:15  
 First-year experience, 1:13; 2:1-2, 10; 4:14  
 Follow-up surveys, 6:10  
 Foundations of Excellence initiative, 2:10  
 Four-credit-hour courses, 1:12  
 Freshman Class Profile Report, 4:14  
 Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education, 5:8  
 Funding, 2:7  
  
 Garrison, D. R., 1:4  
 General education, 2:4-6; 3:9-11; 4:12; 5:4-5, 7, 8, 9-10, 11; 6:7  
 Generalizability analyses, 1:16  
 Georgia Institute of Technology, 5:15; 6:15  
 Good assessment practices, 1:6; 4:4  
 Grackin, J. A., 5:1, 12  
 Graduate assistants, 6:9-10  
 Graduate Record Examination, 3:15  
 Graduate students, 6:1-2, 11-12  
 Graduate surveys, 4:13  
 Grayson, J. P., 1:7  
 Greater Expectations initiative, 5:9, 10  
 Greenway, M. T., 4:6  
  
 Hands-on research experience, 6:1-2, 11-12  
 Hendricks, M., 4:6  
 Higher education policy, 5:9  
 Higher Learning Commission, North Central Assn., 1:5; 3:9; 6:4, 6, 8; 6:8  
 Holland, J. L., 4:15  
 Hornbeck, D., 4:5  
 Huberman, A. M., 2:5  
 Human subjects review, 6:11  
 Humanities, 3:13  
 Hutchings, P., 4:4  
  
 Indiana State Univ., 3:7-8  
 Indiana Univ. Center for Postsecondary Research, 1:14  
 Indiana Univ.-Purdue Univ. Indianapolis, 2:3-11  
 Information literacy skills, 5:8  
 Information sharing, 3:6  
 Institutional assessment, 1:14-15; 3:15; 6:7-8  
 Institutional learning outcomes, 3:9-11  
 Institutional mission, 3:4, 5  
 Integrated Postsecondary Education Data Systems, 4:1  
 Interviews, 2:5; 4:2, 3  
 Item-banking software, 5:8  
  
 James Madison Univ., 2:14; 5:8, 14  
 Jansen, P., 4:4  
 Joseph, L., 4:9  
  
 K-12 education, 3:16; 4:7  
 Kaplan, H., 6:5  
 Keller, G., 1:12  
 Kendall, L., 2:14  
 Kiely, G. L., 1:14, 15

- Knowledge outcomes, 4:11  
 Koestner, R., 6:5  
 Kotler, P., 3:4  
 Kuh, G. D., 1:12
- Law, N., 4:9  
 Learned societies, 3:12, 13  
 Learning community, 1:1-2, 10-11, 16  
 Learning environment, outcomes-based, 2:1-2, 10  
 Liberal arts courses, 5:7  
 Lobel, M., 12  
 Lopez, C. L., 4:9  
 Losier, G. F. 6:5
- Maki, P., 1:6  
 Mandated assessment, 2:7; 4:8  
 Marcy, M. B., 4:4  
 Marshall, C., 2:5  
 MBA distance education programs, 1:3-4  
*Measuring Up 2004*, 4:4; 5:9, 10  
 Middle States Assn. of Colleges and Schools, 4:10  
 Miles, M. B., 2:5  
 Minority recruitment, 1:12-13  
 Missouri Consortium for Measuring Value-Added Student Learning, 3:15-16  
 Morse, J. A., 4:9  
 Motivation theory, 6:4-12  
 Mt. Hood Community College, 5:14  
 Murphy, P. E., 3:4
- Narrative transcript analysis, 5:5, 11  
 National Assn. of Independent Colleges and Universities, 5:10  
 National Center for Higher Education Management Systems, 1:14  
 National Center for Postsecondary Improvement, 1:14  
 National Center for Public Policy in Higher Education, 5:9  
 National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education, 3:7-8  
 National student unit record system, 5:10  
 National Survey of Student Engagement, 1:12-13, 15; 2:3, 10; 3:7-8, 16; 6:13  
 New England Educational Assessment Network, 3:1-2, 12-13  
 New Jersey Dept. of Higher Education's College Outcomes Evaluation Program, 3:15  
 Newsletters, 2:8  
 Nonacademic departments/programs, 5:1-2, 12-13  
 Nonprofit sector outcomes assessment, 4:4-6  
 North Central Assn., 2:7  
 Northeast Missouri State Univ., 3:15; 5:3; 6:3-14  
 Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities, 5:4-5  
 Null values, 1:7
- Olkin, J. M., 1:4  
 On-line survey, 1:3  
 Oregon Assessment Model, 4:1, 13  
*Our Students' Best Work* (report), 5:9-10  
 Ownership, assessment, 4:8
- Palloff, R. M., 1:3, 4  
 Palomba, C. A., 4:9, 10, 11
- Partnership, assessment, 5:8-16  
 Performance indicators, institutional, 1:12  
 Peterson, M. W., 1:14; 4:9  
 Pike, G. R., 1:15  
 Plantz, M. C., 4:6  
 Policy Center on the First Year of College, 2:10  
 Portfolios, 2:1-10; 5:7; 6:10-17  
 Pratt, K., 1:3, 4  
 Presidents, 3:3-14; 4:8  
 Pretests/posttests, 2:4, 5  
 Primary trait scoring, 2:11  
 Principles of Good Practice in Assessing Student Learning, American Assn. for Higher Education, 6:8  
 Process measures, 2:3  
 Professional assessment training, 5:16  
 Professional development, 4:7-8  
 Professional education programs, 1:5-6  
 Program assessment, 6:1-2, 9-10, 11-12  
 Program learning outcomes, 3:9  
 Program review, 2:11; 3:10-11  
 Purposeful samples, 2:5
- Quadrant analysis, 6:14  
 Qualitative studies, 2:5  
 Quantitative/scientific reasoning, 2:14-15; 5:8
- Reaccreditation self-study, 2:11  
 Reading assignments, 6:2, 11-12  
 Reauthorization of the Higher Education Act, 5:9, 10, 11  
 Reeve, J., 6:5  
 Regional accrediting organizations, 4:10  
 Relatedness, and motivation, 6:5-12  
 Residence-based tutoring centers, 5:1-2, 12-13  
 Resource allocations, 3:3, 6  
 Rossman, G. B., 2:5  
 Rubrics, 1:5-6; 2:11; 3:11; 4:9; 5:5  
 Ryan, R. M., 6:4, 5, 12
- Samford Univ., 5:14; 6:3  
 Sanders, J. R., 4:6  
 Santiago, G., 4:9  
 Scalelets, 1:14-15  
 Schein, E., 3:5  
 Scholarship of teaching and learning, 3:13; 5:15  
 Schorr, L. B., 4:5  
 Self-assessment, 2:12, 13; 5:5  
 Self-determination theory, 6:4-12  
 Self-regulation, 2:12  
 Self-report measures, 1:4  
 Shadow systems, 1:8  
 Silverman, L., 4:4  
 Skills outcomes, 4:11-12  
 Smart, J. C., 4:15  
 Smith, P., 2:14  
 Southeastern Oklahoma State Univ., 6:6-8  
 Southern Illinois Univ. Edwardsville, 1:13; 5:13-14  
 Specialists, assessment, 6:6, 7-8  
 Staff, 4:8  
 Stakeholders, 2:6, 5:10; 6:3-15  
 Standardized tests, 5:6  
 State Higher Education Executive Officers, 3:16; 5:10-11
- Statewide assessment, 3:15-16  
 Strategic planning, 3:4-6  
 Student affairs administration preparation standards, 2:12-13  
 Student classification, 4:15  
 Student engagement measures, 1:12-13; 6:13-15  
 Student learning assessment, 1:14-15; 4:8-9, 10-12; 5:6-7; 6:4-12  
 Student learning outcomes, 1:4; 2:11; 5:9-11  
 Student satisfaction measures, 1:4  
 Student Study Habits Project, 5:1-2, 12-13  
 Student support programs, 2:12  
 Surveys, 1:1-2, 4, 7, 10-11, 14-15, 16; 2:3; 4:13  
 Suskie, L., 4:11  
 Systemwide assessment, 2:4-6, 14
- Taking Responsibility for the Quality of the Baccalaureate Degree* (report), 5:10  
*Tasks for Critical Thinking* (instrument), 3:15  
 Teacher licensure examinations, 5:9  
 Teacher preparation programs, 3:7-8  
 Technical degree program outcomes, 3:9, 10, 11  
 Technology skills, 5:8  
 Temple Univ., 1:1-16  
 Test delivery software, 5:8  
 Testing, 1:14, 15; 2:4-6; 5:6-7  
 Testlets, 1:14  
 Texas State Univ.-San Marcos, 6:13  
 Time requirements, 3:5, 6  
 Transfer curriculum, 3:15, 16  
 Transfer partnership, 5:8-16  
 Transformational leaders, 3:14  
 Transparency, demands for, 5:10-11  
 Trevisan, M. S., 6:7  
 Truman State Univ., 3:15  
 Typology of attitudes survey, 1:1-2, 4, 10-11, 16
- Undergraduate counselor education, 1:5-6  
 United Way of America Logic Model, 4:5  
 Univ. of Akron Wayne College, 3:9-11  
 Univ. of Charleston, 2:1-10; 5:14; 6:16  
 Univ. of Missouri, 3:3  
 Univ. of Missouri-Columbia, 5:14; 6:16  
 Univ. of Tennessee, Knoxville, 3:3  
 U.S. Dept. of Education, 4:10  
 U.S. Naval Academy, 5:13; 6:15, 16  
 Utah System of Higher Education, 2:4
- Validation, assessment, 2:9  
 Value-added assessment, 2:4, 5; 5:6-7  
 Vanek, G. T., 6:7  
 Vaughan, D. S., 1:14  
 Virginia Community College System, 2:14-15; 5:8-16  
 Voluntary community service, 2:3-11
- Wainer, H., 1:14, 15  
 Watson, J., 1:9  
 Watson, S., 4:5  
 Wiggins, G. P., 4:9  
 Wildey, A. R., 6:7  
 Workplace readiness, 4:1
- Zubizarreta, J., 6:10

